

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,305	01/18/2002	Colin Low	B-3472DIV1PCT 619164-7	1366
22879	7590 06/19/2006		EXAMINER	
HEWLETT	PACKARD COMPA	SEFCHECK, GREGORY B		
P O BOX 272	2400, 3404 E. HARMON			
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FORT COLL	INS, CO 80527-2400		2616	
	,			

DATE MAILED: 06/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	0			
		10/052,305	LOW ET AL.				
Offic	Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Gregory B. Sefcheck	2616				
The MAIL Period for Reply	ING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress			
WHICHEVER IS  - Extensions of time n after SIX (6) MONTI  - If NO period for repl  - Failure to reply withi Any reply received b	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAMAY be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 HS from the mailing date of this communication, by is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by the Office later than three months after the mailing adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠ Responsiv	ve to communication(s) filed on 27 Fe	ebruary 2006.					
		action is non-final.					
,—							
Disposition of Clai	ms						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> 4a) Of the 5)□ Claim(s) <u>1</u> 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> 7)□ Claim(s) <u>1</u>	9-30 is/are pending in the application above claim(s) is/are withdraw is/are allowed.  9-30 is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers	<b>3</b>						
•	ication is objected to by the Examine						
•	ng(s) filed on <u>18 January 2002</u> is/are:			ier.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U	l.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)  1) X Notice of Reference	ces Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftspe	rson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/052,305

Art Unit: 2616

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

• Claims 19-30 from the Preliminary Amendment filed 1/18/2002 are pending.

### **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 19-30 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 19, 22, 24, 44, 46, 48, 68, 71, 77 and 79 of U.S. Patent No. US007012916B2. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other.

Page 2

- In regards to Claims 19, 21, 22, 24, 25, 27, and 29,

Claims 19 and 25 are substantially the same as claim 19 of USP 7,012,916.

Claim 21 is substantially the same as claim 24 of USP 7,012,916. Claim 22 is substantially the same as claim 44 of USP 7,012,916. Claim 24 is substantially the same as claim 48 of USP 7,012,916. Claim 27 is substantially the same as claim 68 of USP 7,012,916. Claim 29 is substantially the same as claim 77 of USP 7,012,916.

USP 7,012,916 does not explicitly recite that a telephone number associated with and mapped to a domain name is stored in the DNS.

Civanlar discloses that a network hosts' address server contains information about the domain of each host in a network, including telephone numbers associated with the domains of other network hosts (Col. 7, lines 33-47).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the methods and servers of USP 7,012,916 by holding a telephone number associated with a domain name, as shown by Civanlar, in the DNS of the Internet shown in USP 7,012,916. This would enable contacting a target entity through a telephone number, as is done through a URI in USP 7,012,916.

- In regards to Claims 20, 23, 26, 28, and 30,

Claims 20 and 26 are substantially the same as claim 22 of USP 7,012,916.

Claim 23 is substantially the same as claim 46 of USP 7,012,916. Claim 28 is substantially the same as claim 71 of USP 7,012,916. Claim 30 is substantially the same as claim 79 of USP 7,012,916.

Application/Control Number: 10/052,305 Page 4

Art Unit: 2616

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 19-30 would be allowable if the rejections on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting, as set forth above in this Office action, are overcome.

- In regards to Claims 19, 21, 22, 24, 25, 27, and 29,

The prior art of record does not teach or fairly suggest a method, server or system for determining and accessing a target entity in a network as claimed.

#### Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory B. Sefcheck whose telephone number is 571-272-3098. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on 571-272-3174. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/052,305 Page 5

Art Unit: 2616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

GBS (14/5)

SEEMA S. RAO 6 (15
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600